Determination of the stationary basis from protective measurement on a single system

Lajos Diósi
Wigner Research Center for Physics
H-1525 Budapest 114. P.O.Box 49, Hungary
(Dated: February 3, 2014)

We generalize protective measurement for protective joint measurement of several observables. The merit of joint protective measurement is the determination of the eigenstates of an unknown Hamiltonian rather than the determination of features of an unknown quantum state. As an example, we precisely determine the two eigenstates of an unknown Hamiltonian by a single joint protective measurement of the three Pauli matrices on a qubit state.

arXiv:1401.8020v1 [quant-ph] 30 Jan 2014

INTRODUCTION

Protective measurement is one of the unexpected consequences of the strange structure of quantum mechanics. According to general wisdom, we cannot gain information on the unknown state $\hat\rho$ of a single quantum system unless we distort the state itself. In particular, we cannot learn the unknown state of a single system whatever test we apply to it. It came as a surprise that in weak measurements [1] the expectation value $\langle\hat A\rangle$ of an observable $\hat A$ can be tested on a large ensemble of identically prepared unknown states in such a way that the distortions per single systems stay arbitrarily small (cf. [2], too). An indirectly related surprise came with the so-called protective measurements [3–5] capable to test $\langle\hat A\rangle$ at least in an unknown eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $\hat H$ at arbitrarily small distortion of the state itself. Interesting debates followed the proposal as to the merit of protective measurement in the interpretation of the wave function of a single system instead of a statistical ensemble (cf., e.g., [6] and references therein).

My work investigates an alternative merit of protective measurement. First I construct joint protective measurements of several observables $\hat A_1, \hat A_2, \dots$ and re-state the original equations for them in a general form. Then I show that the straightforward task that a single joint protective measurement solves on a single system is the determination of the eigenstates of an otherwise unknown Hamiltonian.

JOINT PROTECTIVE MEASUREMENT OF SEVERAL OBSERVABLES

Consider a single quantum system in state $\hat\rho$, and suppose that its Hamiltonian has discrete non-degenerate spectrum $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$:

$$\hat H = \sum_n \omega_n \left|n\right\rangle \left\langle n\right|, \tag{1}$$

with the eigenstates $|n\rangle$. Consider a set of Hermitian observables $\hat A_1, \hat A_2, \dots$. For later convenience, introduce their expectation values in the stationary eigenstates:

$$\langle\hat A_\alpha\rangle_n = \langle n|\,\hat A_\alpha\,|n\rangle\,, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2}$$

To simultaneously measure the observables $\hat A_1, \hat A_2, \dots$, we use von Neumann detectors with the canonical variables $(\hat x_1, \hat p_1), (\hat x_1, \hat p_1), \dots$, with vanishing Hamiltonians. We prepare the detectors in state $\hat\rho_D$ initially, such that the pointer variables $\hat x_1, \hat x_2, \dots$ are of zero means and of small dispersions $\delta x_1, \delta x_2, \dots$, respectively. The conditions

$$0 < \delta x_\alpha \ll |\langle\hat A_\alpha\rangle_n - \langle\hat A_\alpha\rangle_m| \tag{3}$$

must be satisfied for as many pairs $n \neq m$ as possible for each detector $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$, to ensure that a maximum set of $\langle\hat A_\alpha\rangle_1, \langle\hat A_\alpha\rangle_2, \dots$ be distinguished by the detectors. Now we introduce the usual coupling $\hat K = \sum_\alpha \hat p_\alpha \hat A_\alpha / T$ between the observables and the detectors, respectively, with the factor $1/T$ where T is the duration of the protective measurement.

Let us evaluate the composite unitary dynamics in interaction picture. The observables and the coupling become time-dependent:

$$\hat A_\alpha(t) \;=\; \mathrm{e}^{it\hat H}\hat A_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{it\hat H}, \tag{4}$$

$$\hat K(t) \;=\; \frac{1}{T}\sum_\alpha \hat p_\alpha \hat A_\alpha(t). \tag{5}$$

The unitary transformation after time T reads

$$\begin{aligned}\hat U_T \;&=\; \mathcal{T}\exp\left(-i\int_0^T \hat K(t)dt\right)\\ &=\; \mathcal{T}\exp\left(-i\sum_\alpha \hat p_\alpha \int_0^T \hat A_\alpha(t)\frac{dt}{T}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ stands for time-ordering. Inserting

$$\hat A_\alpha(t) = \sum_{n,m} \mathrm{e}^{i(\omega_n-\omega_m)}\left|n\right\rangle\left\langle n\right|\hat A_\alpha\left|m\right\rangle\left\langle m\right|, \tag{7}$$

we find the contribution of the off-diagonal elements become heavily suppressed when $T|\omega_n - \omega_m| \gg 1$ is satisfied for all $n \neq m$. The ideal protective measurement requires

$T=\infty$, the corresponding unitary dynamics contains the contribution of diagonal elements (2) only:

$$\hat{U}_\infty = \sum_n \exp\left(-i\sum_\alpha \hat{p}_\alpha \langle \hat{A}_\alpha\rangle_n\right)|n\rangle\langle n|\,. \qquad (8)$$

Observe that the eigenvalues ω_n of the Hamiltonian play no role, only the eigenstates $|n\rangle$ do. The dynamics of joint protective measurement of (a finite number of) observables $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots$ is captured by $\hat{U}_\infty$. It will entangle the system with the detectors in such a way that the pointer variables $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots$ get shifted by the expectation values of $\langle \hat{A}_1\rangle_n, \langle \hat{A}_2\rangle_n, \dots$, taken in each eigenstate $|n\rangle$ in turn. The readout of the detectors will obtain the outcomes

$$x_1 = \langle \hat{A}_1\rangle_n \pm \delta x_1, \quad x_2 = \langle \hat{A}_2\rangle_n \pm \delta x_2, \quad \dots \qquad (9)$$

with probability $|\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2$. The terms $\pm\delta x_1, \pm\delta x_2, \dots$ indicate statistical errors. The above outcomes mean that we have occasionally, i.e.: whenever the thresholds (3) disclose the ambiguity of n, collapsed the state $\hat{\rho}$ of the system into $|n\rangle\langle n|$ and we have precisely (i.e.: at arbitrary small errors) measured the expectation values of $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots$ in the stationary state $|n\rangle$ of $\hat{H}$.

Let us test the above dynamics on the uncorrelated pure initial state $|\psi_D\rangle|\psi\rangle$ of the system+detectors compound:

$$|\psi_D\rangle|\psi\rangle \longrightarrow \hat{U}_\infty|\psi_D\rangle|\psi\rangle\,. \qquad (10)$$

Let us introduce the wave function $\psi_D(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ of the detectors. If we substitute (8) and multiply both sides of (10) by $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots|$, we get

$$\psi_D(x_1, x_2, \dots)|\psi\rangle \longrightarrow \sum_n \psi_D(x_1 - \langle \hat{A}_1\rangle_n, x_2 - \langle \hat{A}_2\rangle_n, \dots)|n\rangle\langle n|\psi\rangle\,. \qquad (11)$$

This shows that, under the conditions (3) on the initial wave function $\psi_D(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ of the detectors, the state on the r.h.s. prepares the von Neumann measurement of n and $\langle \hat{A}_1\rangle_n, \langle \hat{A}_2\rangle_n, \dots$. In particular, the initial probability density $P(x_1, x_2, \dots) = |\psi_D(x_1, x_2, \dots)|^2$ changes like this:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots) \longrightarrow \sum_n |\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2 P(x_1 - \langle \hat{A}_1\rangle_n, x_2 - \langle \hat{A}_2\rangle_n, \dots). \qquad (12)$$

Formally, this expression is the statistical mixture corresponding to a von Neumann projective measurement of the stationary basis resulting in the outcome n with probability $|\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2$. In each term the initial positions of the pointers get shifted by the expectation values of the corresponding observables in the given post-measurement eigenstate $|n\rangle$. The eigenvalues ω_n themselves do not appear in the result, since they already canceled from the unitary dynamics $\hat{U}_\infty$, as we observed before.

PROTECTIVE MEASUREMENT OF THE STATIONARY BASIS

We are going to show that a single joint protective measurement determines the eigenstates of the unknown Hamiltonian. Our example is a single qubit in an unknown initial state

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{I} + \vec{s}\,\hat{\vec{\sigma}}), \qquad |\vec{s}| \leq 1, \qquad (13)$$

with unknown spatial polarization vector $\vec{s}$. Unknown is the Hamiltonian as well:

$$\hat{H} = \Omega\vec{e}\,\hat{\vec{\sigma}}, \qquad |\vec{e}| = 1, \qquad (14)$$

with unknown strength Ω and unknown direction $\vec{e}$ of the external 'magnetic' field. The Hamiltonian has two unknown eigenvalues $\pm\Omega$ and eigenstates $|\pm\rangle$:

$$\hat{H} = \Omega\,|+\rangle\langle+| - \Omega\,|+\rangle\langle+| \equiv \Omega\frac{\hat{I} + \vec{e}\,\hat{\vec{\sigma}}}{2} - \Omega\frac{\hat{I} - \vec{e}\,\hat{\vec{\sigma}}}{2}. \qquad (15)$$

Now we prepare three von Neumann detectors and couple them to the three qubit observables $\hat{A}_\alpha = \hat{\sigma}_\alpha$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. Their joint protective measurement is described by the unitary operator (8):

$$\hat{U}_\infty = \exp\left(-i\hat{\vec{p}}\langle\hat{\vec{\sigma}}\rangle_+\right)|+\rangle\langle+| + \exp\left(-i\hat{\vec{p}}\langle\hat{\vec{\sigma}}\rangle_-\right)|-\rangle\langle-|\,. \qquad (16)$$

With $\langle\hat{\vec{\sigma}}\rangle_\pm = \langle+|\,\hat{\vec{\sigma}}\,|+\rangle = \pm\vec{e}$, the coupling shows the following simple dependence on the unknown parameter $\vec{e}$ of $\hat{H}$:

$$\hat{U}_\infty = \exp\left(-i\hat{\vec{p}}\,\vec{e}\right)|+\rangle\langle+| + \exp\left(+i\hat{\vec{p}}\,\vec{e}\right)|-\rangle\langle-|\,. \qquad (17)$$

This unitary operator acts on the initial uncorrelated state:

$$\hat{\rho}_D\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{U}_\infty\hat{\rho}_D\hat{\rho}\hat{U}_\infty^\dagger. \qquad (18)$$

Let the state $\hat{\rho}_D$ be constrained by $\delta x_1, \delta x_2, \delta x_3 \ll 1$, cf. (3). Inserting (17) and taking the diagonal matrix element $\langle\vec{x}|\dots|\vec{x}\rangle$ of both sides, we get the resulting change of the initial pointer statistics $P(\vec{x}) = \langle x|\,\hat{\rho}_D\,|x\rangle$:

$$P(\vec{x}) \longrightarrow |\langle+|\,\hat{\rho}\,|+\rangle|^2 P(\vec{x} - \vec{e}) + |\langle-|\,\hat{\rho}\,|-\rangle|^2 P(\vec{x} + \vec{e}). \qquad (19)$$

Expressing $|\langle\pm|\,\hat{\rho}\,|\pm\rangle|^2$ via (13) and (15), the final statistics of the pointers x_1, x_2, x_3 becomes

$$\frac{1 + \vec{e}\,\vec{s}}{2}P(\vec{x} - \vec{e}) + \frac{1 - \vec{e}\,\vec{s}}{2}P(\vec{x} + \vec{e}). \qquad (20)$$

If we read out the three detectors, the outcome is $\vec{x} \approx \pm\vec{e}$ with probability $(1 \pm \vec{e}\,\vec{s})/2$, respectively. We have thus determined the spatial direction $\vec{e}$ of the external field at arbitrary high precision upto its sign though. The precision of the measured components e_1, e_2, e_3 is given

respectively by the initial dispersions $\delta x_1, \delta x_2, \delta x_3 \ll 1$, it does not depend on the initial state $\hat{\rho}$ of the qubit. The strength Ω of the field remains unknown while the obtained knowledge of $\pm\vec{e}$ means that we have precisely inferred the two stationary states $|\pm\rangle$. Our protective measurement collapses the system, exactly like an ideal von Neumann measurement of $\hat{H}$ would do, into one of the two stationary states, just we cannot learn into which one of the two.

SUMMARY

I have generalized the concept of protective measurement for joint protective measurement of a (possibly finite) number of observables, determined the corresponding unitary operation and its action on arbitrary uncorrelated initial state of the system and the detectors. I have shown that on a single qubit of unknown state and unknown Hamiltonian, the two stationary states can be determined in a single joint protective measurement of the three Pauli matrices. The post-measurement state of the qubit is just like after a projective measurement of $\hat{H}$ it would be. This result may certainly be generalized for higher dimensional systems as well. In fact, the full Hamiltonian can always be determined on a single system if, e.g., we perform a suitable sequence of standard measurements. Yet the surprising feature of the joint protective measurement is that the stationary states can be determined in a single step and in a transparent model.

This work was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund under Grant No. 75129 and the EU COST Action MP1006.

[1] Aharonov Y, Albert DZ, and Vaidman L (1988) How the result of measurement of a component of the spin of a spin-1/2 particle can turn out to be 100. *Physical Review Letters* **60**, 1351-1354.

[2] Diósi L (2006) Quantum mechanics: weak measurements. *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, eds.: J.-P. Françoise, G.L. Naber, and S.T. Tsou, Oxford: Elsevier, v4, 276-282.

[3] Aharonov Y and Vaidman L (1993) Measurement of the Schrödinger wave of a single particle. *Physics Letters* A **178**, 38-42.

[4] Aharonov Y, Anandan J, and Vaidman L (1993) Meaning of the wave function. *Physical Review* A **47**, 4616-4626.

[5] Aharonov Y, Anandan J, and Vaidman L (1996) The meaning of protective measurements, *Foundations of Physics* **26**, 117-126.

[6] Gao S (2013) Protective Measurement: A Paradigm Shift in Understanding Quantum Mechanics. *url = http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/9627/*